

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

**FILED**

MAR 25 2008

ANGELA BERNHARDT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES; LLOYD  
W. PELLMAN, in his official capacity,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 07-55271

D.C. No. CV-99-10121-GHK

MEMORANDUM\*

CATHY A. CATTERSON, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANGELA BERNHARDT,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES,

Defendant - Appellee.

No. 07-56064

D.C. No. CV-99-10121-GHK

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
George H. King, District Judge, Presiding

Argued and Submitted March 5, 2008  
Pasadena, California

Before: GOODWIN, SCHROEDER, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

This is an interlocutory appeal from the district court's denial of a modification of the existing injunction to limit the ability of the plaintiff to settle this case. This case has a long history. See Bernhardt v. Los Angeles County, 101 Fed. Appx. 244 (9th Cir. 2004); Bernhardt v. Los Angeles County, 339 F.3d 920 (9th Cir. 2003); Bernhardt v. County of Los Angeles, 279 F.3d 862 (9th Cir. 2002). Our intervening decision in Pony v. County of Los Angeles, 433 F.3d 1138 (9th Cir. 2006), does not support a different result from the one we reached the last time the case was here. The district court did not abuse its discretion in denying further injunctive relief.

The plaintiff's claim for prospective relief with regard to settlement of the underlying action remains moot after dismissal of that case. See Bernhardt, 279 F.3d at 871. The case should now proceed to trial.

**AFFIRMED.**